

Applying the actuarial control cycle in private health insurance

Ben Ooi FIAA









Actuarial Control Cycle – A brief overview

1. Specifying the problem.

3. Review and monitor

2. Develop solution







Objective of this paper

- Focus of this discussion paper
 - Analysis of surplus
 - Reviewing the provision for outstanding claims
- Motivations
- Target audience







Part 1 – Analysis of surplus







Analysis of surplus – objectives

- $\pi a = \pi e + (\pi a \pi e)$
- Provide a check on the valuation
 - Identify the source of the unexpected surplus
 - Isolate and
 - quantify the unexpected surplus







Formulae

See discussion paper...







Simplifying assumptions

- Only 1 hospital product.
- The number of services eligible for reinsurance is based on the proportion of reinsurance benefits as a percentage of total benefits.
- Contributions have no discounts or rate protection provisions.
- Total assets are used to determine of investment income and other income.







Practical example - 1

Results for 1 quarter (\$'000s)

	Actual	Projection
Contributions	7,100	7,000
Incurred benefits Reinsurance State levies	(5,100) (700) (120)	(5,000) (800) (100)
Gross margin	1,180	1,100
Management expenses Investment income	(820) 300	(800) 250
Surplus	660	550







Practical example – 2

Other Assumptions			
	Actual	Projection	
Average SEUs	11,000	10,000	
Services	52,000	50,000	
Gross deficit	850,000	700,000	
Calculated deficit	1,550,000	1,500,000	
Investment assets (t = 0)	17,000,000	17,000,000	
Investment assets (t = 1)	17,660,000	17,550,000	







Practical example – 3

Analysis of surplus for 1 quarter (\$'000s)		
Profit item	Profit	
Projected surplus	550	
Change in membership	61	
Contributions	(550)	
Benefits Change in average benefits Change in utilisation rate	96 271	
Reinsurance Gross deficit Total benefits Greater than expected gross deficit Less than expected average state deficit per SEU	(61) 134 92	
State levies	(9)	
Management expenses	55	
Investment income	22	
Incurred surplus	660	







Practical implementation issues

- Reported results are not always the same as actual incurred results.
- A check on the provision of outstanding claims is required to ensure that the actual reported results reasonably reflect the actual incurred results. (hence section 2 of the paper)
- The state average deficit per SEU is notoriously difficult to project.







Further development

- By product, benefit type, scale, group, state etc.
- Appropriate allowance for "new business"
- Discounts, Rate Protection, Levies and Commission
- Benefit assumptions
- Indirect expenses
- Exposure instead of SEUs
- Tax
- Other





Timing of review and Level of detail

- Frequency of review
- Period of review
- Level of granularity





Part 2 – Provision for outstanding claims







Quick background

- Components
 - Gross
 - Administration
 - Reinsurance
- Determination methods







Sufficiency

- Actual Vs Expected
 - Provision
 - Incurred claims
 - Historical trends







Bias

- The estimate of the provision should not be biased i.e. the estimate of the provision should be greater than the actual amount 50% of the time and less than the actual amount 50% of the time.
- A measure of the bias could be the measurement of cumulative tolerance (plus and minus) on a rolling 12-month basis. Over time this should be close to zero.







Variability

- The volatility of the estimate of the provision around the actual should be as small as possible and not increase over time.
- The standard deviation over time should be monitored to ensure that:
 - The standard deviation is not too high (i.e. the estimates are reasonably close);
 - The volatility of the estimate is not increasing over time.







Questions???